arXiv:1401.5206v2 [math.RA] 19 Jun 2015

Computation of Minimal Graded Free Resolutions over $\mathbb{N}$-Graded Solvable Polynomial Algebras

Huishi Li

Department of Applied Mathematics, College of Information Science and Technology

Hainan University, Haikou 570228, China

Abstract. It is shown that the methods and algorithms, developed in (A. Capani et al., Computing minimal finite free resolutions, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, (117& 118)(1997), 105 – 117; M. Kreuzer and L. Robbiano, *Computational Commutative Algebra 2*, Springer, 2005.) for computing minimal homogeneous generating sets of graded submodules and graded quotient modules of free modules over a commutative polynomial algebra, can be adapted for computing minimal homogeneous generating sets of graded submodules and graded quotient modules of free modules over a weighted $\mathbb{N}$-graded solvable polynomial algebra, where solvable polynomial algebras are in the sense of (A. Kandri-Rody and V. Weispfenning, Non-commutative Gröbner bases in algebras of solvable type. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 9(1990), 1–26). Consequently, algorithmic procedures for computing minimal finite graded free resolutions over weighted $\mathbb{N}$-graded solvable polynomial algebras are achieved.

2010 Mathematics subject classification Primary 16W70; Secondary 16Z05.

Key words Solvable polynomial algebra, homogeneous generating set, Gröbner basis, free resolution.

1. Introduction

Since the late 1980s, the Gröbner basis theory for commutative polynomial algebras and their modules (cf. [Bu1, 2], [Sch], [BW], [AL2], [Fröb], [KR1, 2]) has been successfully generalized to (noncommutative) solvable polynomial algebras and their modules (cf. [AL1], [Gal], [K-RW], [Kr2], [LW], [Li1], [Lev]). It is now well known that the class of solvable polynomial algebras covers numerous significant algebras such as enveloping algebras of Lie algebras, Weyl algebras (including algebras of partial differential operators with polynomial coefficients over a field K of characteristic 0), more generally a large number of operator algebras, iterated Ore extensions, and many quantum (quantized) algebras. In particular, after [K-RW] successfully established a noncommutative version of

the Buchberger's criterion and a noncommutative version of Buchberger algorithm for computing (one-sided, two-sided) Gröbner bases of (one-sided, two-sided) ideals in general solvable polynomial algebras (see the module versions presented as Theorem 2.5 and **Algorithm 1** in the current paper), the noncommutative version of Buchberger algorithm has been implemented in some well-developed computer algebra systems, such as MODULA-2 [KP] and SINGULAR [DGPS]. Based on such an *effective Gröbner basis theory* and the fact that *every solvable polynomial algebra is a* (*left and right*) *Noetherian domain of finite global homological dimension* (see Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 5.3 in the subsequent sections), in this paper we show that the methods and algorithms, developed in ([CDNR], [KR]) for computing minimal homogeneous generating sets of graded submodules and graded quotient modules of free modules over a commutative polynomial algebra, can be adapted for computing minimal homogeneous generating sets of graded submodules and graded quotient modules of free modules over a weighted $\mathbb{N}$-graded (noncommutative) solvable polynomial algebra $A = K[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ with the degree-0 homogeneous part $A_0 = K$, where K is a field, and consequently, algorithmic procedures for computing minimal finite graded free resolutions over weighted $\mathbb{N}$-graded solvable polynomial algebras can be achieved. More precisely, after the preliminary Section 2, we present algorithms in Section 3 for computing n-truncated left Gröbner bases and minimal homogeneous generating sets of graded submodules in free modules over A; in Section 4 we present an algorithm for computing a minimal homogeneous generating set of a graded quotient module $M = L/N$ of a free A-module L; and in the final Section 5 we present algorithmic procedures for computing a minimal finite graded free resolution of a finitely generated graded A-module $M \cong L/N$.

Noticing that commutative polynomial algebras are certainly the type of $\mathbb{N}$-graded solvable polynomial algebras we specified, and that the noncommutative version of Buchberger's criterion as well as Buchberger algorithm for modules over solvable polynomial algebras (Theorem 2.5 and **Algorithm 1** presented in the end of Section 1) looks as if working the same way as in the commutative case by reducing the S-polynomials, one might think that the extension of methods and algorithms provided by ([CDNR], [KR2]) to modules over noncommutative $\mathbb{N}$-graded solvable polynomial algebras could be naturally holding true as a folklore. However, from the literature (e.g. [AL2], [BW], [Eis], [Fröb], [KR1,2]) we learnt that in developing the Gröbner basis (including the n-truncated Gröbner basis) theory for a commutative polynomial K-algebra $R = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, two featured algebraic structures play the key role in both the theoretical proofs and technical calculations, namely

- the multiplicative monoid $\mathscr{B}$, where $\mathscr{B}$ is the PBW basis of R, which is furthermore turned into an ordered multiplicative monoid with respect to any monomial ordering $\prec$;
- monomial ideals, i.e., ideals generated by monomials from $\mathscr{B}$.

For instance, a version of Dickson's lemma for monomial ideals holds true, thereby a Gröbner basis of an ideal I in R is usually defined (or characterized) in terms of generators of the monomial ideal generated by leading monomials of I; in the proof of Buchberger's criterion, reduction of a monomial does not cause any trouble (e.g. see [AL2], P.41, l -5); especially, the already known Noetherianess of R (or Dickson's lemma for monomial ideals) guarantees the termination of Buchberger algorithm (e.g. see [AL2], P.43), and this algorithm, in turn, gives rise to more relevant algorithms not only for ideals

but also for submodules of free R-modules (e.g. [KR2], Proposition 4.5.10, Theorem 4.6.3). While due to the *noncommutativity* of a solvable polynomial algebra $A = K[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$, *the PBW basis* $\mathscr{B}$ *of* A *is no longer a multiplicative monoid*. Thereby, in developing a (one-sided, two-sided) Gröbner basis theory of A, all jobs using reduction by monomials from $\mathscr{B}$ cannot be simply replicated from the commutative case, (one-sided, two-sided) ideals generated by monomials from $\mathscr{B}$ can no longer play the role as in the commutative case, and the Noetherianess of A is not known until the existence of finite Gröbner bases for (one-sided) ideals is algorithmically established (note that in general A is not necessarily an iterated Ore extension of the base ring K or some Noetherian ring). Since **Algorithm 2** and **Algorithm 3** to be presented in Section 3 essentially depend on **Algorithm 1** presented in the next section, at this point, one is referred to [K-RW] for the nontrivial and detailed argumentation on how the barrier of noncommutativity is broken down, in order to reach the main results as we recalled in Section 2 (Theorem 2.3, Theorem 2.4, Theorem 2.5, **Algorithm 1** though this is for modules). Moreover, so far in the literature there had been no a clear and systematical presentation showing that the commutative n-truncated Gröbner basis theory and the algorithmic principle for $\mathbb{N}$-graded modules presented in ([CDNR], [KR2]) can be adapted for $\mathbb{N}$-graded modules over general noncommutative $\mathbb{N}$-graded solvable polynomial K-algebras with the degree-0 homogeneous part equal to K. So, from a mathematical viewpoint, we are naturally concerned about how to trust that this is a true story and then, how to give a precise quotation source when the relevant results are applied to other noncommutative cases (for instance, in [Li5]). Following the rule of "*to see is to believe*", which we understand as understanding more than merely observing, all what we pointed out above motivates us to provide a detailed argumentation and demonstration on the topic of this paper, to which one may also compare with the corresponding argumentations given in ([KR2], Chapter 4).

In the literature, a finitely generated $\mathbb{N}$-graded K-algebra $A = \oplus_{p\in\mathbb{N}} A_p$ with the degree-0 homogeneous part $A_0 = K$ is referred to as a *connected* $\mathbb{N}$*-graded* K*-algebra*. Concerning introductions to minimal resolutions of graded modules over a (commutative or noncommutative) connected $\mathbb{N}$-graded K-algebra (or more generally an $\mathbb{N}$-graded local K-algebra) and relevant results, one may refer to ([Eis], Chapter 19), ([Kr1], Chapter 3), and [Li3].

Throughout this paper, K denotes a field, $K^* = K - \{0\}$; $\mathbb{N}$ denotes the additive monoid of all nonnegative integers, and $\mathbb{Z}$ denotes the additive group of all integers; all algebras are associative K-algebras with the multiplicative identity 1, and modules over an algebra are meant left unitary modules.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we recall briefly some basics on Gröbner basis theory for solvable polynomial algebras and their modules. The main references are [AL1], [Gal], [K-RW], [Kr], [LW], [Li1], [Li4] and [Lev].

Let K be a field and let $A = K[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ be a finitely generated K-algebra with the *minimal set of generators* $\{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$. If, for some permutation $\tau = i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n$ of $1, 2, \ldots, n$, the set $\mathscr{B} = \{a^\alpha =$

$a_{i_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots a_{i_n}^{\alpha_n} \mid \alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n\}$, forms a K-basis of A, then $\mathscr{B}$ is referred to as a *PBW K-basis* of A. It is clear that if A has a PBW K-basis, then we can always assume that $i_1 = 1, \ldots, i_n = n$. Thus, we make the following convention once for all.

Convention From now on in this paper, if we say that an algebra A has the PBW K-basis $\mathscr{B}$, then it means that

$$\mathscr{B} = \{a^\alpha = a_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots a_n^{\alpha_n} \mid \alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n\}.$$

Moreover, adopting the commonly used terminology in computational algebra, elements of $\mathscr{B}$ are referred to as *monomials* of A.

Suppose that A has the PBW K-basis $\mathscr{B}$ as presented above and that $\prec$ is a total ordering on $\mathscr{B}$. Then every nonzero element $f \in A$ has a unique expression

$$f = \lambda_1 a^{\alpha(1)} + \lambda_2 a^{\alpha(2)} + \cdots + \lambda_m a^{\alpha(m)},\ \lambda_j \in K^*,\ a^{\alpha(j)} = a_1^{\alpha_{1j}} a_2^{\alpha_{2j}} \cdots a_n^{\alpha_{nj}} \in \mathscr{B},\ 1 \leq j \leq m.$$

If $a^{\alpha(1)} \prec a^{\alpha(2)} \prec \cdots \prec a^{\alpha(m)}$ in the above representation, then the *leading monomial of f* is defined as $\mathbf{LM}(f) = a^{\alpha(m)}$, the *leading coefficient of F* is defined as $\mathbf{LC}(f) = \lambda_m$, and the *leading term of f* is defined as $\mathbf{LT}(f) = \lambda_m a^{\alpha(m)}$.

2.1. Definition Suppose that the K-algebra $A = K[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ has the PBW K-basis $\mathscr{B}$. If $\prec$ is a total ordering on $\mathscr{B}$ that satisfies the following three conditions:

(1) $\prec$ is a well-ordering;

(2) For $a^\gamma, a^\alpha, a^\beta, a^\eta \in \mathscr{B}$, if $a^\alpha \prec a^\beta$ and $\mathbf{LM}(a^\gamma a^\alpha a^\eta)$, $\mathbf{LM}(a^\gamma a^\beta a^\eta) \notin K$, then $\mathbf{LM}(a^\gamma a^\alpha a^\eta) \prec \mathbf{LM}(a^\gamma a^\beta a^\eta)$;

(3) For $a^\gamma, a^\alpha, a^\beta, a^\eta \in \mathscr{B}$, if $a^\beta \neq a^\gamma$, and $a^\gamma = \mathbf{LM}(a^\alpha a^\beta a^\eta)$, then $a^\beta \prec a^\gamma$ (thereby $1 \prec a^\gamma$ for all $a^\gamma \neq 1$),

then $\prec$ is called a *monomial ordering* on $\mathscr{B}$ (or a monomial ordering on A).

If $\prec$ is a monomial ordering on $\mathscr{B}$, then we call $(\mathscr{B}, \prec)$ an *admissible system* of A.

Remark. (i) Definition 2.1 is indeed borrowed from the theory of Gröbner bases for general finitely generated K-algebras, in which the algebras considered may be noncommutative, may have divisors of zero, and the K-bases used may not be a PBW basis, but with a (one-sided, two-sided) monomial ordering such algebras may theoretically have a (one-sided, two-sided) Gröbner basis theory. For more details on this topic, one may referrer to ([Li2], Section 3.1 of Chapter 3 and Section 8.3 of Chapter 8). Also, to see the essential difference between Definition 2.1 and the classical definition of a monomial ordering in the commutative case, one may refer to (Definition 1.4.1 and the proof of Theorem 1.4.6 given in [AL2]).

(ii) Note that the conditions (2) and (3) in Definition 2.1 mean that $\prec$ is *two-sided compatible with the multiplication operation of the algebra A*. Originally in [K-RW], the use of a (two-sided) monomial ordering $\prec$ on a solvable polynomial algebra A first guarantees that A *is a domain*, and furthermore guarantees an effective (left, right, two-sided) finite Gröbner basis theory for A (Theorem 2.3 below).

Note that if a K-algebra $A = K[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ has the PBW K-basis $\mathscr{B} = \{a^\alpha = a_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots a_n^{\alpha_n} \mid \alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n\}$, then for any given n-tuple $(m_1, \ldots, m_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, a *weighted degree function* $d(\ )$ is well defined on nonzero elements of A, namely, for each $a^\alpha = a_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots a_n^{\alpha_n} \in \mathscr{B}$, $d(a^\alpha) = m_1\alpha_1 + \cdots + m_n\alpha_n$, and for each nonzero $f = \sum_{i=1}^s \lambda_i a^{\alpha(i)} \in A$ with $\lambda_i \in K^*$ and $a^{\alpha(i)} \in \mathscr{B}$, $d(f) = \max\{d(a^{\alpha(i)}) \mid 1 \leq i \leq s\}$. If $d(a_i) = m_i > 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, then $d(\ )$ is referred to as a *positive-degree function* on A.

Let $d(\ )$ be a positive-degree function on A. If $\prec$ is a monomial ordering on $\mathscr{B}$ such that for all $a^\alpha, a^\beta \in \mathscr{B}$,

$$(*) \qquad a^\alpha \prec a^\beta \text{ implies } d(a^\alpha) \leq d(a^\beta),$$

then we call $\prec$ a *graded monomial ordering* with respect to $d(\ )$, and from now on, unless otherwise stated we always use $\prec_{gr}$ to denote a graded monomial ordering.

As one may see from the literature that in both the commutative and noncommutative computational algebra, the most popularly used graded monomial orderings on an algebra A with the PBW K-basis $\mathscr{B}$ are those graded (reverse) lexicographic orderings with respect to the degree function $d(\ )$ such that $d(a_i) = 1$, $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Originally, a noncommutative solvable polynomial algebra (or an algebra of solvable type) R' was defined in [K-RW] by first fixing a monomial ordering $\prec$ on the standard K-basis $\mathscr{B} = \{X_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots X_n^{\alpha_n} \mid \alpha_i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of the commutative polynomial algebra $R = K[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ in n variables $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ over a field K, and then introducing a new multiplication $*$ on R, such that certain axioms ([K-RW], AXIOMS 1.2) are satisfied. In [LW] the definition of a solvable polynomial algebra was modified, in the formal language of associative K-algebras, as follows.

2.2. Definition Suppose that the K-algebra $A = K[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ has an admissible system $(\mathscr{B}, \prec)$. If for all $a^\alpha = a_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots a_n^{\alpha_n}$, $a^\beta = a_1^{\beta_1} \cdots a_n^{\beta_n} \in \mathscr{B}$, the following condition is satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned} a^\alpha a^\beta &= \lambda_{\alpha,\beta} a^{\alpha+\beta} + f_{\alpha,\beta}, \\ &\quad \text{where } \lambda_{\alpha,\beta} \in K^*,\ a^{\alpha+\beta} = a_1^{\alpha_1+\beta_1} \cdots a_n^{\alpha_n+\beta_n}, \text{ and} \\ &\quad f_{\alpha,\beta} \in K\text{-span}\mathscr{B} \text{ with } \mathbf{LM}(f_{\alpha,\beta}) \prec a^{\alpha+\beta} \text{ whenever } f_{\alpha,\beta} \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

then A is said to be a *solvable polynomial algebra*.

Remark Let $A = K[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ be a finitely generated K-algebra and $K\langle X\rangle = K\langle X_1, \ldots, X_n\rangle$ the free K-algebra on $\{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$. Then it follows from [Li4] that A is a solvable polynomial algebra if and only if

(1) $A \cong K\langle X\rangle/\langle G\rangle$ with a finite set of defining relations $G = \{g_1, \ldots, g_m\}$ such that with respect to some monomial ordering $\prec_X$ on $K\langle X\rangle$, G is a Gröbner basis of the ideal $\langle G\rangle$ and the set of normal monomials (mod G) gives rise to a PBW K-basis $\mathscr{B}$ for A, and

(2) there is a monomial ordering $\prec$ on $\mathscr{B}$ such that the condition on monomials given in Definition 2.2 is satisfied.
Thus, solvable polynomial algebras are completely determinable and constructible in a computational way.

By Definition 2.2 it is straightforward that if A is a solvable polynomial algebra and $f, g \in A$ with $\mathbf{LM}(f) = a^\alpha$, $\mathbf{LM}(g) = a^\beta$, then

$$(\mathbb{P}1) \qquad \mathbf{LM}(fg) = \mathbf{LM}(\mathbf{LM}(f)\mathbf{LM}(g)) = \mathbf{LM}(a^\alpha a^\beta) = a^{\alpha+\beta}.$$

We shall freely use this property in the rest of this paper without additional indication.

The results mentioned in the theorem below are summarized from ([K-RW], Sections 2 – 5).

2.3. Theorem Let $A = K[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ be a solvable polynomial algebra with admissible system $(\mathscr{B}, \prec)$. The following statements hold.
(i) A is a (left and right) Noetherian domain.
(ii) With respect to the given $\prec$ on $\mathscr{B}$, every nonzero left ideal I of A has a finite left Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, \ldots, g_t\} \subset I$ in the sense that

- if $f \in I$ and $f \neq 0$, then there is a $g_i \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $\mathbf{LM}(g_i)|\mathbf{LM}(f)$, i.e., there is some $a^\gamma \in \mathscr{B}$ such that $\mathbf{LM}(f) = \mathbf{LM}(a^\gamma \mathbf{LM}(g_i))$, or equivalently, with $\gamma(i_j) = (\gamma_{i_{1j}}, \gamma_{i_{2j}}, \ldots, \gamma_{i_{nj}}) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, f has a left Gröbner representation:

$$\begin{aligned} f \;\; = \;\; & \textstyle\sum_{i,j} \lambda_{ij} a^{\gamma(i_j)} g_j, \text{ where } \lambda_{ij} \in K^*,\ a^{\gamma(i_j)} \in \mathscr{B},\ g_j \in \mathcal{G}, \\ & \text{satisfying } \mathbf{LM}(a^{\gamma(i_j)} g_j) \preceq \mathbf{LM}(f) \text{ for all } (i,j). \end{aligned}$$

(iii) The Buchberger algorithm, that computes a finite Gröbner basis for a finitely generated commutative polynomial ideal, has a complete noncommutative version that computes a finite left Gröbner basis for a finitely generated left ideal $I = \sum_{i=1}^m Af_i$ of A (see **Algorithm 1** given in the end of this section).
(iv) Similar results of (ii) and (iii) hold for right ideals and two-sided ideals of A.

□

Let $A = K[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ be a solvable polynomial algebra with admissible system $(\mathscr{B}, \prec)$, and let $L = \oplus_{i=1}^s Ae_i$ be a free left A-module with the A-basis $\{e_1, \ldots, e_s\}$. Then L is a Noetherian module with the K-basis

$$\mathscr{B}(e) = \{a^\alpha e_i \mid a^\alpha \in \mathscr{B},\ 1 \leq i \leq s\}.$$

We also call elements of $\mathscr{B}(e)$ *monomials* in L. If $\prec_e$ is a total ordering on $\mathscr{B}(e)$, and if $\xi = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j a^{\alpha(j)} e_{i_j} \in L$, where $\lambda_j \in K^*$ and $\alpha(j) = (\alpha_{j_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{j_n}) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, such that $a^{\alpha(1)} e_{i_1} \prec_e a^{\alpha(2)} e_{i_2} \prec_e \cdots \prec_e a^{\alpha(m)} e_{i_m}$, then by $\mathbf{LM}(\xi)$ we denote the *leading monomial* $a^{\alpha(m)} e_{i_m}$ of ξ, by $\mathbf{LC}(\xi)$ we denote the *leading coefficient* λ_m of ξ, and by $\mathbf{LT}(\xi)$ we denote the *leading term* $\lambda_m a^{\alpha(m)} e_{i_m}$ of f.

With respect to the given monomial ordering $\prec$ on $\mathscr{B}$, a total ordering $\prec_e$ on $\mathscr{B}(e)$ is called a *left monomial ordering* if the following two conditions are satisfied:

(1) $a^\alpha e_i \prec_e a^\beta e_j$ implies $\mathbf{LM}(a^\gamma a^\alpha e_i) \prec_e \mathbf{LM}(a^\gamma a^\beta e_j)$ for all $a^\alpha e_i$, $a^\beta e_j \in \mathscr{B}(e)$, $a^\gamma \in \mathscr{B}$;

(2) $a^\beta \prec a^\beta$ implies $a^\alpha e_i \prec_e a^\beta e_i$ for all $a^\alpha, a^\beta \in \mathscr{B}$ and $1 \leq i \leq s$.

From the definition it is straightforward to check that every left monomial ordering $\prec_e$ on $\mathscr{B}(e)$ is a well-ordering. Moreover, if $f \in A$ with $\mathbf{LM}(f) = a^\gamma$ and $\xi \in L$ with $\mathbf{LM}(\xi) = a^\alpha e_i$, then by referring to the foregoing (ℙ1) we have

$$(\mathbb{P}2) \qquad \mathbf{LM}(f\xi) = \mathbf{LM}(\mathbf{LM}(f)\mathbf{LM}(\xi)) = \mathbf{LM}(a^\gamma a^\alpha e_i) = a^{\gamma+\alpha} e_i.$$

We shall also freely use this property in the rest of this paper without additional indication.

Actually as in the commutative case ([AL2], [KR]), any left monomial ordering $\prec$ on $\mathscr{B}$ may induce two left monomial orderings on $\mathscr{B}(e)$:

$$\begin{aligned} &(\textbf{TOP ordering}) \quad a^\alpha e_i \prec_e a^\beta e_j \Leftrightarrow a^\alpha \prec a^\beta, \text{ or } a^\alpha = a^\beta \text{ and } i < j; \\ &(\textbf{POT ordering}) \quad a^\alpha e_i \prec_e a^\beta e_j \Leftrightarrow i < j, \text{ or } i = j \text{ and } a^\alpha \prec a^\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\prec_e$ be a left monomial ordering on the K-basis $\mathscr{B}(e)$ of L, and $a^\alpha e_i$, $a^\beta e_j \in \mathscr{B}(e)$, where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$, $\beta = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$. We say that $a^\alpha e_i$ *divides* $a^\beta e_j$, denoted $a^\alpha e_i | a^\beta e_j$, if $i = j$ and $a^\beta e_i = \mathbf{LM}(a^\gamma a^\alpha e_i)$ for some $a^\gamma \in \mathscr{B}$. It follows from the foregoing property (ℙ2) that

$$a^\alpha e_i | a^\beta e_j \text{ if and only if } i = j \text{ and } \beta_i \geq \alpha_i,\ 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

This division of monomials can be extended to a division algorithm of dividing an element ξ by a finite subset of nonzero elements $U = \{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_m\}$ in L. That is, if there is some $\xi_{i_1} \in U$ such that $\mathbf{LM}(\xi_{i_1}) | \mathbf{LM}(\xi)$, i.e., there is a monomial $a^{\alpha(i_1)} \in \mathscr{B}$ such that $\mathbf{LM}(\xi) = \mathbf{LM}(a^{\alpha(i_1)}\xi_{i_1})$, then $\xi' := \xi - \frac{\mathbf{LC}(\xi)}{\mathbf{LC}(a^{\alpha(i_1)}\xi_{i_1})} a^{\alpha(i_1)}\xi_{i_1}$; otherwise, $\xi' := \xi - \mathbf{LT}(\xi)$. Executing this procedure for ξ' and so on, it follows from the well-ordering property of $\prec_e$ that after finitely many repetitions ξ has an expression

$$\begin{aligned} \xi \;=\; & \textstyle\sum_{i,j} \lambda_{ij} a^{\alpha(i_j)} \xi_j + \eta, \text{ where } \lambda_{ij} \in K,\ a^{\alpha(i_j)} \in \mathscr{B},\ \xi_j \in U, \\ & \eta = 0 \text{ or } \eta = \textstyle\sum_k \lambda_k a^{\gamma(k)} e_k \text{ with } \lambda_k \in K,\ a^{\gamma(k)} e_k \in \mathscr{B}(\mathfrak{e}), \\ & \text{satisfying} \\ & \mathbf{LM}(a^{\alpha(i_j)}\xi_j) \preceq_{\mathfrak{e}} \mathbf{LM}(\xi) \text{ for all } \lambda_{ij} \neq 0, \text{ and if } \eta \neq 0, \text{ then} \\ & a^{\gamma(k)} e_k \preceq_{\mathfrak{e}} \mathbf{LM}(\xi),\ \mathbf{LM}(\xi_i) \nmid a^{\gamma(k)} e_k \text{ for all } \xi_i \in U \text{ and all } \lambda_k \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

The element η appeared in the above expression is called a *remainder* of ξ on division by U, and is usually denoted by $\overline{\xi}^U$, i.e., $\overline{\xi}^U = \eta$. If $\overline{\xi}^U = 0$, then we say that ξ is *reduced to zero* on division by U. A nonzero element $\xi \in L$ is said to be *normal* (mod U) if $\xi = \overline{\xi}^U$.

Based on the division algorithm, the notion of a *left Gröbner basis* for a submodule N of the free module $L = \oplus_{i=1}^s Ae_i$ comes into play. Since A is a Noetherian domain, it follows that L is a Noetherian A-module and the following proposition holds.

2.4. Theorem With respect to the given $\prec_e$ on $\mathscr{B}(e)$, every nonzero submodule N of L has a finite left Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, \ldots, g_m\} \subset N$ in the sense that

- if $\xi \in N$ and $\xi \neq 0$, then $\mathbf{LM}(g_i)|\mathbf{LM}(\xi)$ for some $g_i \in \mathcal{G}$, i.e., there is a monomial $a^\gamma \in \mathscr{B}$ such that $\mathbf{LM}(\xi) = \mathbf{LM}(a^\gamma \mathbf{LM}(g_i))$, or equivalently, ξ has a *left Gröbner representation* $\xi = \sum_{i,j} \lambda_{ij} a^{\alpha(i_j)} g_j$, where $\lambda_{ij} \in K^*$, $a^{\alpha(i_j)} \in \mathscr{B}$ with $\alpha(i_j) = (\alpha_{i_{j1}}, \ldots, \alpha_{i_{jn}}) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $g_j \in \mathcal{G}$, satisfying $\mathbf{LM}(a^{\alpha(i_j)} g_j) \preceq_{\mathfrak{e}} \mathbf{LM}(\xi)$;

moreover, starting with any finite generating set of N, such a left Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G}$ can be computed by running a noncommutative version of the Buchberger algorithm for modules over solvable polynomial algebras (see **Algorithm 1** presented below).

□

Since the noncommutative version of Buchberger algorithm is based on the noncommutative version of Buchberger's criterion that makes the strategy for computing left Gröbner bases of modules over solvable polynomial algebras, for the reader's convenience and the use of the next section, we recall both of them as follows.

Let $N = \sum_{i=1}^m A\xi_i$ with $U = \{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_m\} \subset L$. For $\xi_i, \xi_j \in U$ with $1 \leq i < j \leq m$, $\mathbf{LM}(\xi_i) = a^{\alpha(i)} e_p$, $\mathbf{LM}(\xi_j) = a^{\alpha(j)} e_q$, where $\alpha(i) = (\alpha_{i_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i_n})$, $\alpha(j) = (\alpha_{j_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{j_n})$, put $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n)$ with $\gamma_k = \max\{\alpha_{i_k}, \alpha_{j_k}\}$. The *left S-polynomial* of ξ_i and ξ_j is defined as

$$S_\ell(\xi_i, \xi_j) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{\mathbf{LC}(a^{\gamma-\alpha(i)}\xi_i)} a^{\gamma-\alpha(i)}\xi_i - \dfrac{1}{\mathbf{LC}(a^{\gamma-\alpha(j)}\xi_j)} a^{\gamma-\alpha(j)}\xi_j, & \text{if } p = q \\ 0, & \text{if } p \neq q. \end{cases}$$

2.5. Theorem (Noncommutative version of Buchberger's criterion) With notation as above, U is a left Gröbner basis of the submodule N if and only if every $S_\ell(\xi_i, \xi_j)$ is reduced to 0 on division by U, i.e., $\overline{S_\ell(\xi_i, \xi_j)}^U = 0$.

Algorithm 1 (Noncommutative version of Buchberger algorithm)

INPUT : $U = \{\xi_1, ..., \xi_m\}$

OUTPUT : $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, ..., g_t\}$ a left Gröbner basis of $N = \sum_{i=1}^m A\xi_i$

INITIALIZATION : $m' := m$, $\mathcal{G} := \{g_1 = \xi_1, \ldots, g_{m'} = \xi_m\}$,

$$\mathcal{S} := \left\{ S_\ell(g_i, g_j) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} g_i, g_j \in \mathcal{G},\ i < j, \text{ and for some } e_t, \\ \mathbf{LM}(g_i) = a^\alpha e_t,\ \mathbf{LM}(g_j) = a^\beta e_t \end{array} \right\}$$

BEGIN
  WHILE $\mathcal{S} \neq \emptyset$
    Choose any $S_\ell(g_i, g_j) \in \mathcal{S}$
    $\mathcal{S} := \mathcal{S} - \{S_\ell(g_i, g_j)\}$
    $\overline{S_\ell(g_i, g_j)}^{\mathcal{G}} = \eta$
      IF $\eta \neq 0$ with $\mathbf{LM}(\eta) = a^\rho e_k$ THEN
        $m' := m' + 1,\ g_{m'} := \eta$
        $\mathcal{S} := \mathcal{S} \cup \{S_\ell(g_j, g_{m'}) \mid g_j \in \mathcal{G},\ \mathbf{LM}(g_j) = a^\nu e_k\}$
        $\mathcal{G} := \mathcal{G} \cup \{g_{m'}\}$,
      END
  END
END

One is referred to the up-to-date computer algebra systems SINGULAR [DGPS] for the implementation of **Algorithm 1**. Also, nowadays there have been optimized algorithms, such as the signature-based algorithm for computing Gröbner bases in solvable polynomial algebras [SWMZ], which is based on the celebrated F5 algorithm [Fau], may be used to speed-up the computation of left Gröbner bases for modules.

3. Computation of Minimal Homogeneous Generating Sets of Graded Submodules $N \subset L$

Based on **Algorithm 1** presented in the last section, in this section we show that the methods and algorithms, developed in ([CDNR], [KR]) for computing minimal homogeneous generating sets of graded submodules in free modules over commutative polynomial algebras, can be adapted for computing minimal homogeneous generating sets of graded submodules in free modules over weighted $\mathbb{N}$-graded solvable polynomial algebras. All notions, notations and conventions introduced before are maintained.

Let $A = K[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ be a solvable polynomial K-algebra with admissible system $(\mathscr{B}, \prec)$, where $\mathscr{B} = \{a^\alpha = a_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots a_n^{\alpha_n} \mid \alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n\}$ is the PBW K-basis of A and $\prec$ is a monomial ordering on $\mathscr{B}$. Given a positive-degree function $d(\ )$ on A (as defined in Section 2) such that $d(a_i) = m_i > 0$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, we know that A has an $\mathbb{N}$-graded K-module structure, i.e., $A = \oplus_{p \in \mathbb{N}} A_p$ with $A_p = K\text{-span}\{a^\alpha \in \mathscr{B} \mid d(a^\alpha) = p\}$, in particular, $A_0 = K$; if furthermore $A_{p_1} A_{p_2} \subseteq A_{p_1+p_2}$ for all $p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, then A is turned into a weighted $\mathbb{N}$-*graded solvable polynomial algebra* with respect to $d(\ )$. In this case, elements in A_p are called *homogeneous elements of degree* p, and accordingly A_p is called the *degree-p homogeneous part* of A. For every nonzero $h \in A_p$, we write $d_{\text{gr}}(h)$ for the degree of h as a homogeneous element of A, i.e., $d_{\text{gr}}(h) = p$. Since A is a domain, the

degree function $d_{\rm gr}(\ )$ defined on nonzero homogeneous elements of A has the property:

(ℙ3) $\quad d_{\rm gr}(h_1h_2) = d_{\rm gr}(h_1) + d_{\rm gr}(h_2)$ for all nonzero homogeneous elements $h_1, h_2 \in A$.

From now on we shall freely use this property without additional indication.

Remark (i) We emphasize that if $A = K[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ is a weighted ℕ-graded solvable polynomial algebra with respect to a positive degree function $d(\ )$ on A, then *every* $a^\alpha \in \mathscr{B}$ *is a homogeneous elements of* A *and* $d(a^\alpha) = d_{\rm gr}(a^\alpha)$.
(ii) Given a solvable polynomial algebra $A = K[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ with admissible system $(\mathscr{B}, \prec)$, it follows from Definition 2.2 that

- A is a weighted ℕ-graded solvable polynomial algebra with respect to a given positive-degree function $d(\ )$ if and only if for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, in the relation $a_ja_i = \lambda_{ji}a_ia_j + f_{ji}$ with $f_{ji} = \sum \mu_k a^{\alpha(k)}$, $d(a^{\alpha(k)}) = d(a_ia_j)$ whenever $\mu_k \neq 0$.

Consequently, if $\prec_{gr}$ is a graded monomial ordering on $\mathscr{B}$ with respect to some given positive-degree function $d(\ )$ on A, then it is easy to know whether A is a weighted ℕ-graded algebra with respect to $d(\ )$ or not.

In view of the above remark, typical ℕ-graded solvable polynomial algebras are those iterated skew polynomial K-algebras $A = K[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ subject to the relations

$$a_ja_i = \lambda_{ji}a_ia_j, \quad \lambda_{ji} \in K^*,\ 1 \leq i < j \leq n,$$

where the positive-degree function on A can be defined by $d(a_i) = m_i$ for any fixed tuple $(m_1, \ldots, m_n)$ of positive integers. Such algebras include the well-known coordinate rings of quantum affine n-spaces. Another well-known ℕ-graded solvable polynomial algebra is the coordinate ring $M_q(2) = K[a, b, c, d]$ of the manifold of quantum 2×2 matrices $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ introduced in [Man], which has the defining relations

$$\begin{aligned} &ab = q^{-1}ba, \quad &&db = bd - (q - q^{-1})ac, \\ &cb = qbc, &&da = qad, \\ &ca = ac, &&dc = qcd, \end{aligned}$$

where each generator is assigned the degree 1. More generally, let $\Lambda = (\lambda_{ij})$ be a multiplicatively antisymmetric $n \times n$ matrix over K, and let $\lambda \in K^*$ with $\lambda \neq -1$. Considering the multiparameter coordinate ring of quantum $n \times n$ matrices over K (see [Good]), namely the K-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda,\Lambda}(M_n(K))$ generated by n^2 elements a_{ij} $(1 \leq i, j \leq n)$ subject to the relations

$$a_{\ell m}a_{ij} = \begin{cases} \lambda_{\ell i}\lambda_{jm}a_{ij}a_{\ell m} + (\lambda - 1)\lambda_{\ell i}a_{im}a_{\ell j} & (\ell > i,\ m > j) \\ \lambda\lambda_{\ell i}\lambda_{jm}a_{ij}a_{\ell m} & (\ell > i,\ m \leq j) \\ \lambda_{jm}a_{ij}a_{\ell m} & (\ell = i,\ m > j) \end{cases}$$

Then $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda,\Lambda}(M_n(K))$ is an ℕ-graded solvable polynomial algebra, where each generator has degree 1. The two examples given below provide weighted ℕ-graded solvable polynomial algebras in which

some generators may have degree ≥ 2. Moreover, it is also known that the associated graded algebra and the Rees algebra of every $\mathbb{N}$-filtered solvable polynomial algebra with a graded monomial ordering are $\mathbb{N}$-graded solvable polynomial algebras (see [LW], [Li1]).

Let $A = K[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ be a weighted $\mathbb{N}$-graded solvable polynomial algebra with respect to a given positive-degree function $d(\ )$ on A, and let $(\mathscr{B}, \prec)$ be an admissible system of A. If $L = \oplus_{i=1}^{s} Ae_i$ is a free left A-module with the A-basis $\{e_1, \ldots, e_s\}$, then L has the K-basis $\mathscr{B}(\mathfrak{e}) = \{a^\alpha e_i \mid a^\alpha \in \mathscr{B},\ 1 \leq i \leq n\}$, and for an *arbitrarily* fixed $\{b_1, \ldots, b_s\} \subset \mathbb{N}$, L can be turned into an $\mathbb{N}$-*graded free* A-*module* in the sense that $L = \oplus_{q \in \mathbb{N}} L_q$ in which

$$L_q = \{0\} \text{ if } q < \min\{b_1, \ldots, b_s\};\ \text{otherwise } L_q = \sum_{p_i + b_i = q} A_{p_i} e_i, \quad q \in \mathbb{N},$$

or alternatively, for $q \geq \min\{b_1, \ldots, b_s\}$,

$$L_q = K\text{-span}\{a^\alpha e_i \in \mathscr{B}(e) \mid d(a^\alpha) + b_i = q\},\ q \in \mathbb{N},$$

such that $A_p L_q \subseteq A_{p+q}$ for all $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $q \in \mathbb{N}$, elements in L_q are called *homogeneous elements of degree* q, and accordingly L_q is called the *degree-q homogeneous part* of L. If $\xi \in L_q$, then we write $d_{\rm gr}(\xi)$ for the degree of η as a homogeneous element of L, i.e., $d_{\rm gr}(\xi) = q$. In particular, $d_{\rm gr}(e_i) = b_i$, $1 \leq i \leq s$. As with the degree of homogeneous elements in A, noticing that $d_{\rm gr}(a^\alpha e_i) = d(a^\alpha) + b_i$ for all $a^\alpha e_i \in \mathscr{B}(e)$ and that A is a domain, from now on we shall freely use the following property without additional indication: for all nonzero homogeneous elements $h \in A$ and all nonzero homogeneous elements $\xi \in L$,

$$(\mathbb{P}4) \qquad d_{\rm gr}(h\xi) = d_{\rm gr}(h) + d_{\rm gr}(\xi).$$

Convention Unless otherwise stated, from now on throughout the subsequent sections if we say that L is a graded free module over a weighted $\mathbb{N}$-graded solvable polynomial algebra A, then it always means that L has the $\mathbb{N}$-gradation as constructed above.

Let $L = \oplus_{q \in \mathbb{N}} L_q$ be a graded free A-module. If a submodule N is generated by homogeneous elements, then N is called a *graded submodule* of L. A graded submodule N has the $\mathbb{N}$-graded structure $N = \oplus_{q \in \mathbb{N}} N_q$ with $N_q = N \cap L_q$, such that $A_p N_q \subseteq N_{p+q}$ for all $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that monomials in $\mathscr{B}$ are homogeneous elements of A, thereby left S-polynomials of homogeneous elements are homogeneous elements, and remainders of homogeneous elements on division by homogeneous remain homogeneous elements. It follows that if a graded submodule $N = \sum_{i=1}^{m} A\xi_i$ of L is generated by the set of homogeneous elements $\{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_m\}$, then, running the noncommutative version of Buchberger's algorithm for modules over solvable polynomial algebras (**Algorithm 1** in Section 2) with respect to a fixed monomial ordering $\prec_e$ on $\mathscr{B}(\mathfrak{e})$, it produces a finite *homogeneous left Gröbner basis* $\mathcal{G}$ for N, that is, $\mathcal{G}$ consists of homogeneous elements.

In what follows, $A = K[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ denotes a weighted $\mathbb{N}$-graded solvable polynomial algebra with respect to a given positive-degree function $d(\ )$ on A, $(\mathscr{B}, \prec)$ denotes a fixed admissible system of A,

$L = \oplus_{i=1}^{s} Ae_i$ denotes an $\mathbb{N}$-graded free A-module such that $d_{\rm gr}(e_i) = b_i$, $1 \leq i \leq s$, and $\prec_e$ denotes a fixed left monomial ordering on the K-basis $\mathscr{B}(e)$ of L. Moreover, as in Section 2 we write $S_\ell(\xi_i, \xi_j)$ for the left S-polynomial of two elements ξ_i, $\xi_j \in L$.

To reach our goal of this section on the basis of **Algorithm 1**, let us point out that although monomials from the PBW K-basis $\mathscr{B}$ of a weighted $\mathbb{N}$-graded solvable polynomial algebra A *can no longer behave* as well as monomials in a commutative polynomial algebra (namely the product of two monomials is not necessarily a monomial), every monomial from $\mathscr{B}$ is a homogeneous element in the weighted $\mathbb{N}$-graded structure of A (as we remarked before), thereby the product of two monomials is a homogeneous element. Bearing in mind this fact, the rule of division, and the properties $(\mathbb{P}1)-(\mathbb{P}3)$ mentioned in Section 2 and the foregoing $(\mathbb{P}4)$, the argument below will go through without trouble.

We first show that the algorithm given in ([KR], Proposition 4.5.10) can be modified to compute n-truncated left Gröbner bases for graded submodules of free modules over a weighted $\mathbb{N}$-graded solvable polynomial algebra. The discussion on n-truncated left Gröbner bases presented below is similar to the commutative case as in [KR].

3.1. Definition Let $G = \{g_1, \ldots, g_t\}$ be a subset of homogeneous elements of L, $N = \sum_{i=1}^{t} Ag_i$ the graded submodule generated by G, and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $G_{\leq n} = \{g_j \in G \mid d_{\rm gr}(g_j) \leq n\}$. If, for each nonzero homogeneous element $\xi \in N$ with $d_{\rm gr}(\xi) \leq n$, there is some $g_i \in G_{\leq n}$ such that $\mathbf{LM}(g_i)|\mathbf{LM}(\xi)$ with respect to $\prec_e$, then we call $G_{\leq n}$ an *n-truncated left Gröbner basis* of N with respect to $(\mathscr{B}(e), \prec_e)$.

Verification of the lemma below is straightforward.

3.2. Lemma Let $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, \ldots, g_t\}$ be a homogeneous left Gröbner basis for the graded submodule $N = \sum_{i=1}^{t} Ag_i$ of L with respect to $(\mathscr{B}(e), \prec_e)$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, put $\mathcal{G}_{\leq n} = \{g_j \in \mathcal{G} \mid d_{\rm gr}(g_j) \leq n\}$, $N_{\leq n} = \cup_{q=0}^{n} N_q$ where each N_q is the degree-q homogeneous part of N, and let $N(n) = \sum_{\xi \in N_{\leq n}} A\xi$ be the graded submodule generated by $N_{\leq n}$. The following statements hold.
(i) $\mathcal{G}_{\leq n}$ is an n-truncated left Gröbner basis of N. Thus, if $\xi \in L$ is a homogeneous element with $d_{\rm gr}(\xi) \leq n$, then $\xi \in N$ if and only if $\overline{\xi}^{\mathcal{G}_{\leq n}} = 0$, i.e., ξ is reduced to zero on division by $\mathcal{G}_{\leq n}$,.
(ii) $N(n) = \sum_{g_j \in \mathcal{G}_{\leq n}} Ag_j$, and $\mathcal{G}_{\leq n}$ is an n-truncated left Gröbner basis of $N(n)$.

□

In light of **Algorithm 1** (presented in Section 2), an n-truncated left Gröbner basis is characterized as follows.

3.3. Proposition Let $N = \sum_{i=0}^{s} Ag_i$ be the graded submodule of L generated by a set of homogeneous elements $G = \{g_1, \ldots, g_m\}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, put $G_{\leq n} = \{g_j \in G \mid d_{\rm gr}(g_j) \leq n\}$. The following statements are equivalent with respect to the given $(\mathscr{B}(e), \prec_e)$.
(i) $G_{\leq n}$ is an n-truncated left Gröbner basis of N.
(ii) Every nonzero left S-polynomial $S_\ell(g_i, g_j)$ of $d_{\rm gr}(S_\ell(g_i, g_j)) \leq n$ is reduced to zero on division by $G_{\leq n}$, i.e, $\overline{S_\ell(g_i, g_j)}^{G_{\leq n}} = 0$.

Proof Recall that if $g_i, g_j \in G$, $\mathbf{LT}(g_i) = \lambda_i a^{\alpha} e_t$ with $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$, $\mathbf{LT}(g_j) = \lambda_j a^{\beta} e_t$ with $\beta = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n)$, and $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \ldots \gamma_n)$ with $\gamma_i = \max\{\alpha_i, \beta_i\}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, then

$$S_\ell(g_i, g_j) = \frac{1}{\mathbf{LC}(a^{\gamma-\alpha} g_i)} a^{\gamma-\alpha} g_i - \frac{1}{\mathbf{LC}(a^{\gamma-\beta} g_j)} a^{\gamma-\beta} g_j$$

is a homogeneous element in N with $d_{\mathrm{gr}}(S_\ell(g_i, g_j)) = d(a^\gamma) + b_t$ by the foregoing property ($\mathbb{P}4$). If $d_{\mathrm{gr}}(S_\ell(g_i, g_j)) \leq n$, then it follows from (i) that (ii) holds.

Conversely, suppose that (ii) holds. To see that $G_{\leq n}$ is an n-truncated left Gröbner basis of N, let us run **Algorithm 1** with the initial input data G. Without optimizing **Algorithm 1** we may certainly assume that $G \subseteq \mathcal{G}$, thereby $G_{\leq n} \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{\leq n}$ where $\mathcal{G}$ is the new input set returned by each pass through the WHILE loop. On the other hand, by the construction of $S_\ell(g_i, g_j)$ and the foregoing property ($\mathbb{P}4$) we know that if $d_{\mathrm{gr}}(S_\ell(g_i, g_j)) \leq n$, then $d_{\mathrm{gr}}(g_i) \leq n$, $d_{\mathrm{gr}}(g_j) \leq n$. Hence, the assumption (ii) implies that **Algorithm 1** does not append any new element of degree $\leq n$ to $\mathcal{G}$. Therefore, $G_{\leq n} = \mathcal{G}_{\leq n}$. By Lemma 3.2 we conclude that $G_{\leq n}$ is an n-truncated left Gröbner basis of N. □

3.4. Corollary Let $N = \sum_{i=1}^{m} Ag_i$ be the graded submodule of L generated by a set of homogeneous elements $G = \{g_1, \ldots, g_m\}$. Suppose that $G_{\leq n} = \{g_j \in G \mid d_{\mathrm{gr}}(g_j) \leq n\}$ is an n-truncated left Gröbner basis of N with respect to $(\mathscr{B}(e), \prec_e)$.
(i) If $\xi \in L$ is a nonzero homogeneous element of $d_{\mathrm{gr}}(\xi) = n$ such that $\mathbf{LM}(g_i) \nmid \mathbf{LM}(\xi)$ for all $g_i \in G_{\leq n}$, then $G' = G_{\leq n} \cup \{\xi\}$ is an n-truncated left Gröbner basis for both the graded submodules $N' = N + A\xi$ and $N'' = \sum_{g_j \in G_{\leq n}} Ag_j + A\xi$ of L.
(ii) If $n \leq n_1$ and $\xi \in L$ is a nonzero homogeneous element of $d_{\mathrm{gr}}(\xi) = n_1$ such that $\mathbf{LM}(g_i) \nmid \mathbf{LM}(\xi)$ for all $g_i \in G_{\leq n}$, then $G' = G_{\leq n} \cup \{\xi\}$ is an n_1-truncated left Gröbner basis for the graded submodule $N' = \sum_{g_j \in G_{\leq n}} Ag_j + A\xi$ of L.

Proof If $\xi \in L$ is a nonzero homogeneous element of $d_{\mathrm{gr}}(\xi) = n_1 \geq n$ and $\mathbf{LM}(\xi_i) \nmid \mathbf{LM}(\xi)$ for all $\xi_i \in G_{\leq n}$, then noticing the property ($\mathbb{P}2$) mentioned in Section 2 and the foregoing ($\mathbb{P}4$), we see that every nonzero left S-polynomial $S_\ell(\xi, \xi_i)$ with $\xi_i \in G$ has $d_{\mathrm{gr}}(S_\ell(\xi, \xi_i)) > n$. Hence both (i) and (ii) hold by Proposition 3.3. □

3.5. Proposition (Compare with ([KR2], Proposition 4.5.10)) Given a finite set of nonzero homogeneous elements $U = \{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_m\} \subset L$ with $d_{\mathrm{gr}}(\xi_1) \leq d_{\mathrm{gr}}(\xi_2) \leq \cdots d_{\mathrm{gr}}(\xi_m)$, and a positive integer $n_0 \geq d_{\mathrm{gr}}(\xi_1)$, the following algorithm computes an n_0-truncated left Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, ..., g_t\}$ for the graded submodule $N = \sum_{i=1}^{m} A\xi_i$ such that $d_{\mathrm{gr}}(g_1) \leq d_{\mathrm{gr}}(g_2) \leq \cdots d_{\mathrm{gr}}(g_t)$.

Algorithm 2

INPUT : $U = \{\xi_1, ..., \xi_m\}$ with $d_{\mathrm{gr}}(\xi_1) \leq d_{\mathrm{gr}}(\xi_2) \leq \cdots d_{\mathrm{gr}}(\xi_m)$,
n_0, where $n_0 \geq d_{\mathrm{gr}}(\xi_1)$
OUTPUT : $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, ..., g_t\}$ an n_0-truncated left Gröbner basis of N
INITIALIZATION : $\mathcal{S}_{\leq n_0} := \emptyset$, $W := U$, $\mathcal{G} := \emptyset$, $t' := 0$

LOOP
$n := \min\{d_{\mathrm{gr}}(\xi_i),\ d_{\mathrm{gr}}(S_\ell(g_i, g_j)) \mid \xi_i \in W,\ S_\ell(g_i, g_j) \in \mathcal{S}_{\leq n_0}\}$
$\mathcal{S}_n := \{S_\ell(g_i, g_j) \in \mathcal{S}_{\leq n_0} \mid d_{\mathrm{gr}}(S_\ell(g_i, g_j)) = n\},\ W_n := \{\xi_j \in W \mid d_{\mathrm{gr}}(\xi_j) = n\}$
$\mathcal{S}_{\leq n_0} := \mathcal{S}_{\leq n_0} - \mathcal{S}_n,\ W := W - W_n$
WHILE $\mathcal{S}_n \neq \emptyset$ DO
Choose any $S_\ell(g_i, g_j) \in \mathcal{S}_n$
$\mathcal{S}_n := \mathcal{S}_n - \{S_\ell(g_i, g_j)\}$
$\overline{S_\ell(g_i, g_j)}^{\mathcal{G}} = \eta$
IF $\eta \neq 0$ with $\mathbf{LM}(\eta) = a^\rho e_k$THEN
$t' := t' + 1,\ g_{t'} := \eta$
$$\mathcal{S}_{\leq n_0} := \mathcal{S}_{\leq n_0} \cup \left\{ S_\ell(g_i, g_{t'}) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} g_i \in \mathcal{G}, 1 \leq i < t', \mathbf{LM}(g_i) = a^\tau e_k, \\ d_{\mathrm{gr}}(S_\ell(g_i, g_{t'})) \leq n_0 \end{array} \right\}$$
$\mathcal{G} := \mathcal{G} \cup \{g_{t'}\}$
END
END
WHILE $W_n \neq \emptyset$ DO
Choose any $\xi_j \in W_n$
$W_n := W_n - \{\xi_j\}$
$\overline{\xi_j}^{\mathcal{G}} = \eta$
IF $\eta \neq 0$ with $\mathbf{LM}(\eta) = a^\rho e_k$ THEN
$t' := t' + 1,\ g_{t'} := \eta$
$$\mathcal{S}_{\leq n_0} := \mathcal{S}_{\leq n_0} \cup \left\{ S_\ell(g_i, g_{t'}) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} g_i \in \mathcal{G}, 1 \leq i < t', \mathbf{LM}(g_i) = a^\tau e_k, \\ d_{\mathrm{gr}}(S_\ell(g_i, g_{t'})) \leq n_0 \end{array} \right\}$$
$\mathcal{G} := \mathcal{G} \cup \{g_{t'}\}$
END
END
UNTIL $\mathcal{S}_{\leq n_0} = \emptyset$
END

Proof First note that both the WHILE loops append new elements to $\mathcal{G}$ by taking the nonzero normal remainders on division by $\mathcal{G}$. Thus, with a fixed n, by the definition of a left S-polynomial and the normality of $g_{t'} \pmod{\mathcal{G}}$, it is straightforward to check that in both the WHILE loops every newly appended $S_\ell(g_i, g_{t'})$ has $d_{\mathrm{gr}}(S_\ell(g_i, g_{t'})) > n$. To proceed, let us write $N(n)$ for the submodule generated by $\mathcal{G}$ which is obtained after W_n is exhausted in the second WHILE loop. If n_1 is the first number after n such that $\mathcal{S}_{n_1} \neq \emptyset$, and for some $S_\ell(g_i, g_j) \in \mathcal{S}_{n_1}$, $\eta = \overline{S_\ell(g_i, g_j)}^{\mathcal{G}} \neq 0$ in a certain pass through the first WHILE loop, then we note that this η is still contained in $N(n)$. Hence, after $\mathcal{S}_{n_1}$ is exhausted in the first WHILE loop, the obtained $\mathcal{G}$ generates $N(n)$ and $\mathcal{G}$ is an n_1-truncated left Gröbner basis of $N(n)$. Noticing that the algorithm starts with $\mathcal{S} = \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{G} = \emptyset$, inductively

it follows from Proposition 3.3 and Corollary 3.4 that after W_{n_1} is exhausted in the second WHILE loop, the obtained $\mathcal{G}$ is an n_1-truncated left Gröbner basis of $N(n_1)$. Since n_0 is finite and all the generators of N with $d_{\mathrm{gr}}(\xi_j) \leq n_0$ are processed through the second WHILE loop, the algorithm terminates and the eventually obtained $\mathcal{G}$ is an n_0-truncated left Gröbner basis of N. Finally, the fact that the degrees of elements in $\mathcal{G}$ are non-decreasingly ordered follows from the choice of the next n in the algorithm. □

Let the data $(A, \mathscr{B}, \prec)$ and $(L, \mathscr{B}(\mathfrak{e}), \prec_e)$ be as fixed before. Combining the foregoing results, we now proceed to show that the algorithm given in ([KR], Theorem 4.6.3)) can be modified to compute minimal homogeneous generating sets of graded submodules in free modules over A.

Let N be a graded submodule of the $\mathbb{N}$-graded free A-module L fixed above. We say that a homogeneous generating set U of N is a *minimal homogeneous generating set* if any proper subset of U cannot be a generating set of N. As preparatory result, we first show that the noncommutative analogue of ([KR], Proposition 4.6.1, Corollary 4.6.2) holds true for N.

3.6. Proposition Let $N = \sum_{i=1}^{m} A\xi_i$ be the graded submodule of L generated by a set of homogeneous elements $U = \{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_m\}$, where $d_{\mathrm{gr}}(\xi_1) \leq d_{\mathrm{gr}}(\xi_2) \leq \cdots \leq d_{\mathrm{gr}}(\xi_m)$. Put $N_1 = \{0\}$, $N_i = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} A\xi_j$, $2 \leq i \leq m$. The following statements hold.
(i) U is a minimal homogeneous generating set of N if and only if $\xi_i \notin N_i$, $1 \leq i \leq m$.
(ii) The set $\overline{U} = \{\xi_k \mid \xi_k \in U,\ \xi_k \notin N_k\}$ is a minimal homogeneous generating set of N.

Proof (i) If U is a minimal homogeneous generating set of N, then clearly $\xi_i \notin N_i$, $1 \leq i \leq m$.

Conversely, suppose $\xi_i \notin N_i$, $1 \leq i \leq m$. If U is not a minimal homogeneous generating set of N, then, there is some i such that N is generated by $\{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{i-1}, \xi_{i+1}, \ldots, \xi_m\}$, thereby $\xi_i = \sum_{j \neq i} h_j \xi_j$ for some nonzero homogeneous elements $h_j \in A$ such that $d_{\mathrm{gr}}(\xi_i) = d_{\mathrm{gr}}(h_j g_j) = d_{\mathrm{gr}}(h_j) + d_{\mathrm{gr}}(\xi_j)$, where the second equality follows from the foregoing property ($\mathbb{P}4$). Thus $d_{\mathrm{gr}}(\xi_j) \leq d_{\mathrm{gr}}(\xi_i)$ for all $j \neq i$. If $d_{\mathrm{gr}}(\xi_j) < d_{\mathrm{gr}}(\xi_i)$ for all $j \neq i$, then $\xi_i \in \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} A\xi_j$, which contradicts the assumption. If $d_{\mathrm{gr}}(\xi_i) = d_{\mathrm{gr}}(\xi_j)$ for some $j \neq i$, then since $h_j \neq 0$ we have $h_j \in A_0 - \{0\} = K^*$. Putting $i' = \max\{i,\ j \mid f_j \in K^*\}$, we then have $\xi_{i'} \in \sum_{j=1}^{i'-1} A\xi_j$, which again contradicts the assumption. Hence, under the assumption we conclude that U is a minimal homogeneous generating set of N.

(ii) In view of (i), it is sufficient to show that $\overline{U}$ is a homogeneous generating set of N. Indeed, if $\xi_i \in U - \overline{U}$, then $\xi_i \in \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} A\xi_j$. By checking ξ_{i-1} and so on, it follows that $\xi_i \in \sum_{\xi_k \in \overline{U}} A\xi_k$, as desired.

□

3.7. Corollary Let $U = \{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_m\}$ be a minimal homogeneous generating set of a graded submodule N of L, where $d_{\mathrm{gr}}(\xi_1) \leq d_{\mathrm{gr}}(\xi_2) \leq \cdots \leq d_{\mathrm{gr}}(\xi_m)$, and let $\xi \in L - N$ be a homogeneous element with $d_{\mathrm{gr}}(\xi_m) \leq d_{\mathrm{gr}}(\xi)$. Then $\widehat{U} = U \cup \{\xi\}$ is a minimal homogeneous generating set of the graded submodule $\widehat{N} = N + A\xi$. □

3.8. Theorem (Compare with ([KR2], Theorem 4.6.3)) Let $U = \{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_m\} \subset L$ be a finite set of nonzero homogeneous elements of L with $d_{\rm gr}(\xi_1) \leq d_{\rm gr}(\xi_2) \leq \cdots \leq d_{\rm gr}(\xi_m)$. Then the following algorithm returns a minimal homogeneous generating set $U_{\min} = \{\xi_{j_1}, \ldots, \xi_{j_r}\} \subset U$ for the graded submodule $N = \sum_{i=1}^{m} A\xi_i$; and meanwhile it returns a homogeneous left Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, ..., g_t\}$ for N such that $d_{\rm gr}(g_1) \leq d_{\rm gr}(g_2) \leq \cdots d_{\rm gr}(g_t)$.

Algorithm 3

INPUT : $U = \{\xi_1, ..., \xi_m\}$ with $d_{\rm gr}(\xi_1) \leq d_{\rm gr}(\xi_2) \leq \cdots \leq d_{\rm gr}(\xi_m)$

OUTPUT : $U_{\min} = \{\xi_{j_1}, \ldots, \xi_{j_r}\} \subset U$ a minimal homogeneous generating set of N;

$\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, ..., g_t\}$ a homogeneous left Gröbner basis of N

INITIALIZATION : $\mathcal{S} := \emptyset,\ W := U,\ \mathcal{G} := \emptyset,\ t' := 0,\ U_{\min} := \emptyset$

LOOP

$n := \min\{d_{\rm gr}(\xi_i),\ d_{\rm gr}(S_\ell(g_i, g_j)) \mid \xi_i \in W,\ S_\ell(g_i, g_j) \in \mathcal{S}\}$

$\mathcal{S}_n := \{S_\ell(g_i, g_j) \in \mathcal{S} \mid d_{\rm gr}(S_\ell(g_i, g_j)) = n\},\ W_n := \{\xi_j \in W \mid d_{\rm gr}(\xi_j) = n\}$

$\mathcal{S} := \mathcal{S} - \mathcal{S}_n,\ W := W - W_n$

WHILE $\mathcal{S}_n \neq \emptyset$ DO

Choose any $S_\ell(g_i, g_j) \in \mathcal{S}_n$

$\mathcal{S}_n := \mathcal{S}_n - \{S_\ell(g_i, g_j)\}$

$\overline{S_\ell(g_i, g_j)}^{\mathcal{G}} = \eta$

IF $\eta \neq 0$ with $\mathbf{LM}(\eta) = a^\rho e_k$ THEN

$t' := t' + 1,\ g_{t'} := \eta$

$\mathcal{S} := \mathcal{S} \cup \{S_\ell(g_i, g_{t'}) \mid g_i \in \mathcal{G},\ 1 \leq i < t',\ \mathbf{LM}(g_i) = a^\tau e_k\}$

$\mathcal{G} := \mathcal{G} \cup \{g_{t'}\}$

END

END

WHILE $W_n \neq \emptyset$ DO

Choose any $\xi_j \in W_n$

$W_n := W_n - \{\xi_j\}$

$\overline{\xi_j}^{\mathcal{G}} = \eta$

IF $\eta \neq 0$ with $\mathbf{LM}(\eta) = a^\rho e_k$ THEN

$U_{\min} := U_{\min} \cup \{\xi_j\}$

$t' := t' + 1,\ g_{t'} := \eta$

$\mathcal{S} := \mathcal{S} \cup \{S_\ell(g_i, g_{t'}) \mid g_i \in \mathcal{G},\ 1 \leq i < t',\ \mathbf{LM}(g_i) = a^\tau e_k\}$

$\mathcal{G} := \mathcal{G} \cup \{g_{t'}\}$

END

END

UNTIL $\mathcal{S} = \emptyset$

END

Proof Since this algorithm is clearly a variant of **Algorithm 1** and **Algorithm 2** with a minimization procedure, it terminates and the eventually obtained $\mathcal{G}$ is a homogeneous left Gröbner basis for N in which the degrees of elements are ordered non-decreasingly. It remains to prove that the eventually obtained $U_{\min}$ is a minimal homogeneous generating set of N.

As in the proof of Proposition 3.5, let us first bear in mind that for each n, in both the WHILE loops every newly appended $S_\ell(g_i, g_{t'})$ has $d_{\mathrm{gr}}(S_\ell(g_i, g_{t'})) > n$. Moreover, for convenience, let us write $\mathcal{G}(n)$ for the $\mathcal{G}$ obtained after $\mathcal{S}_n$ is exhausted in the first WHILE loop, and write $U_{\min}[n]$, $\mathcal{G}[n]$ respectively for the $U_{\min}$, $\mathcal{G}$ obtained after W_n is exhausted in the second WHILE loop. Since the algorithm starts with $\mathcal{O} = \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{G} = \emptyset$, if, for a fixed n, we check carefully how the elements of $U_{\min}$ are chosen during executing the second WHILE loop, and how the new elements are appended to $\mathcal{G}$ after each pass through the first or the second WHILE loop, then it follows from Proposition 3.3 and Corollary 3.4 that after W_n is exhausted, the obtained $U_{\min}[n]$ and $\mathcal{G}[n]$ generate the same module, denoted $N(n)$, such that $\mathcal{G}[n]$ is an n-truncated left Gröbner basis of $N(n)$. We now use induction to show that the eventually obtained $U_{\min}$ is a minimal homogeneous generating set for N. If $U_{\min} = \emptyset$, then it is a minimal generating set of the zero module. To proceed, we assume that $U_{\min}[n]$ is a minimal homogeneous generating set for $N(n)$ after W_n is exhausted in the second WHILE loop. Suppose that n_1 is the first number after n such that $\mathcal{S}_{n_1} \neq \emptyset$. We complete the induction proof below by showing that $U_{\min}[n_1]$ is a minimal homogeneous generating set of $N(n_1)$.

If in a certain pass through the first WHILE loop, $\overline{S_\ell(g_i, g_j)}^{\mathcal{G}} = \eta \neq 0$ for some $S_\ell(g_i, g_j) \in \mathcal{S}_{n_1}$, then we note that $\eta \in N(n)$. It follows that after $\mathcal{S}_{n_1}$ is exhausted in the first WHILE loop, $\mathcal{G}(n_1)$ generates $N(n)$ and $\mathcal{G}(n_1)$ is an n_1-truncated left Gröbner basis of $N(n)$. Next, assume that $W_{n_1} = \{\xi_{j_1}, \ldots, \xi_{j_s}\} \neq \emptyset$ and that the elements of W_{n_1} are processed in the given order during executing the second WHILE loop. Since $\mathcal{G}(n_1)$ is an n_1-truncated left Gröbner basis of $N(n)$, if $\xi_{j_1} \in W_{n_1}$ is such that $\overline{\xi_{j_1}}^{\mathcal{G}(n_1)} = \eta_1 \neq 0$, then $\xi_{j_1}, \eta_1 \in L - N(n)$. By Corollary 3.4, we conclude that $\mathcal{G}(n_1) \cup \{\eta_1\}$ is an n_1-truncated Gröbner basis for the module $N(n) + A\eta_1$; and by Corollary 3.7, we conclude that $U_{\min}[n] \cup \{\xi_{j_1}\}$ is a minimal homogeneous generating set of $N(n) + A\eta_1$. Repeating this procedure, if $\xi_{j_2} \in W_{n_1}$ is such that $\overline{f_{j_2}}^{\mathcal{G}(n_1)\cup\{\eta_1\}} = \eta_2 \neq 0$, then $\xi_{j_2}, \eta_2 \in L - (N(n) + A\eta_1)$. By Corollary 3.4, we conclude that $\mathcal{G}(n_1) \cup \{\eta_1, \eta_2\}$ is an n_1-truncated left Gröbner basis for the module $N(n) + A\eta_1 + A\eta_2$; and by Corollary 3.7, we conclude that $U_{\min}[n] \cup \{\xi_{j_1}, \xi_{j_2}\}$ is a minimal homogeneous generating set of $N(n) + A\eta_1 + A\eta_2$. Continuing this procedure until W_{n_1} is exhausted we assert that the returned $\mathcal{G}[n_1] = \mathcal{G}$ and $U_{\min}[n_1] = U_{\min}$ generate the same module $N(n_1)$ and $\mathcal{G}[n_1]$ is an n_1-truncated left Gröbner basis of $N(n_1)$ and $U_{\min}[n_1]$ is a minimal homogeneous generating set of $N(n_1)$, as desired. As all elements of U are eventually processed by the second WHILE loop, we conclude that the finally obtained $\mathcal{G}$ and $U_{\min}$ have the properties: $\mathcal{G}$ generates the module N, $\mathcal{G}$ is an n_0-truncated left Gröbner basis of N, and $U_{\min}$ is a minimal homogeneous generating set of N. □

Remark If we are only interested in getting a minimal homogeneous generating set for the submodule

N, then **Algorithm 3** can indeed be speed up. More precisely, with

$$d_{\rm gr}(\xi_1) \leq d_{\rm gr}(\xi_2) \leq \cdots \leq d_{\rm gr}(\xi_m) = n_0,$$

it follows from the proof above that if we stop executing the algorithm after S_{n_0} and W_{n_0} are exhausted, then the resulted $U_{\min}[n_0]$ is already the desired minimal homogeneous generating set for N, while $\mathcal{G}[n_0]$ is an n_0-truncated left Gröbner basis of N.

3.9. Corollary Let $U = \{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_m\} \subset L$ be a finite set of nonzero homogeneous elements of L with $d_{\rm gr}(\xi_1) = d_{\rm gr}(\xi_2) = \cdots = d_{\rm gr}(\xi_m) = n_0$
(i) If U satisfies $\mathbf{LM}(\xi_i) \neq \mathbf{LM}(\xi_j)$ for all $i \neq j$, then U is a minimal homogeneous generating set of the graded submodule $N = \sum_{i=1}^m A\xi_i$ of L, and meanwhile U is an n_0-truncated left Gröbner basis for N.
(ii) If U is a minimal left Gröbner basis of the graded submodule $N = \sum_{i=1}^m A\xi_i$ (i.e., U is a left Grobner basis of N satisfying $\mathbf{LM}(\xi_i) \nmid \mathbf{LM}(\xi_j)$ for all $i \neq j$), then U is a minimal homogeneous generating set of N.

Proof By the assumption, it follows from the second WHILE loop of **Algorithm 3** that $U_{\min} = U$.

4. Computation of Minimal Homogeneous Generating Sets of Graded Quotient Modules $M = L/N$

In this section, $A = K[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ denotes a weighted $\mathbb{N}$-graded solvable polynomial algebra with respect to a given positive-degree function $d(\ )$ on A, $(\mathscr{B}, \prec)$ denotes a fixed admissible system of A, and $L_0 = \oplus_{i=1}^s Ae_i$ denotes a graded free left A-module such that $d_{\rm gr}(e_i) = b_i$, $1 \leq i \leq s$, i.e., $L_0 = \oplus_{q\in\mathbb{N}} L_{0q}$ with $L_{0q} = K\text{-span}\{a^\alpha e_i \in \mathscr{B}(e) \mid d(a^\alpha) + b_i = q\}$. Let N be a graded submodule of L_0 and $M = L_0/N$. By mimicking ([KR], Proposition 4.7.24)), our aim of this section is to present an algorithm for computing a minimal homogeneous generating set of the graded A-module M. All conventions and notations used before are maintained.

Since A is Noetherian, N is a finitely generated graded submodule of L_0. Let $N = \sum_{j=1}^m A\xi_j$ be generated by the set of nonzero homogeneous elements $U = \{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_m\}$, where $\xi_\ell = \sum_{k=1}^s f_{k\ell}e_k$ with $f_{k\ell} \in A$, $1 \leq \ell \leq m$. Then, every nonzero $f_{k\ell}$ is a homogeneous element of A such that $d_{\rm gr}(\xi_\ell) = d_{\rm gr}(f_{k\ell}e_k) = d_{\rm gr}(f_{k\ell}) + b_k$, where $b_k = d_{\rm gr}(e_k)$, $1 \leq k \leq s$, $1 \leq \ell \leq m$.

4.1. Lemma With every $\xi_\ell = \sum_{i=1}^s f_{i\ell}e_i$ as fixed above, $1 \leq \ell \leq m$, if the i-th coefficient f_{ij} of some ξ_j is a nonzero constant, say $f_{ij} = 1$ without loss of generality, then for each $\ell = 1, \ldots, j-1, j+1, \ldots, m$, the element $\xi'_\ell = \xi_\ell - f_{i\ell}\xi_j$ does not involve e_i. Putting $U' = \{\xi'_1, \ldots, \xi'_{j-1}, \xi'_{j+1}, \ldots, \xi'_m\}$, there is a graded A-module isomorphism $M' = L'_0/N' \cong L_0/N = M$, where $L'_0 = \oplus_{k\neq i} Ae_k$ and $N' = \sum_{\xi'_\ell \in U'} A\xi'_\ell$.

Proof Since $f_{ij}=1$ by the assumption, we see that every $\xi'_\ell=\sum_{k\neq i}(f_{k\ell}-f_{i\ell}f_{kj})e_k$ does not involve e_i. Let $U'=\{\xi'_1,\ldots,\xi'_{j-1},\xi'_{j+1},\ldots,\xi'_m\}$ and $N'=\sum_{\xi'_\ell\in U'}A\xi'_\ell$. Then $N'\subset L'_0=\oplus_{k\neq i}Ae_k$. Again since $f_{ij}=1$, we have $d_{\rm gr}(\xi_j)=d_{\rm gr}(e_i)=b_i$. It follows from the property ($\mathbb{P}4$) formulated in Section 3 that

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\rm gr}(f_{i\ell}f_{kj}e_k) &= d_{\rm gr}(f_{i\ell})+d_{\rm gr}(f_{kj}e_k)\\ &= d_{\rm gr}(f_{i\ell})+d_{\rm gr}(\xi_j)\\ &= d_{\rm gr}(f_{i\ell})+b_i\\ &= d_{\rm gr}(f_{i\ell}e_i)\\ &= d_{\rm gr}(\xi_\ell)\\ &= d_{\rm gr}(f_{k\ell}e_k). \end{aligned}$$

Noticing that $d_{\rm gr}(f_{i\ell}\xi_j)=d_{\rm gr}(f_{i\ell})+d_{\rm gr}(\xi_j)$, this shows that in the representation of ξ'_ℓ every nonzero term $(f_{k\ell}-f_{i\ell}f_{kj})e_k$ is a homogeneous element of degree $d_{\rm gr}(\xi_\ell)=d_{\rm gr}(f_{i\ell}\xi_j)$, thereby $M'=L'_0/N'$ is a graded A-module. Note that $N=N'+A\xi_j$ and that $\xi_j=e_i+\sum_{k\neq i}f_{kj}e_k$. Without making confusion, if we use the same notation $\overline{e}_k$ to denote the coset represented by e_k in M' and M respectively, it is now clear that the desired graded A-module isomorphism $M'\stackrel{\varphi}{\longrightarrow}M$ is naturally defined by $\varphi(\overline{e}_k)=\overline{e}_k$, $k=1,\ldots,i-1,i+1,\ldots,s$. □

Let $M=L_0/N$ be as fixed above with N generated by the set of nonzero homogeneous elements $U=\{\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_m\}$. Then since A is $\mathbb{N}$-graded with $A_0=K$, from the literature (e.g. ([Eis], Chapter 19), ([Kr1], Chapter 3), [Li3]) it is well known that the homogeneous generating set $\overline{E}=\{\overline{e}_1,\ldots,\overline{e}_s\}$ of M is a minimal homogeneous generating set if and only if $\xi_\ell=\sum_{k=1}^s f_{k\ell}e_k$ implies $d_{\rm gr}(f_{k\ell})>0$ whenever $f_{k\ell}\neq 0$, $1\leq\ell\leq m$.

4.2. Proposition (Compare with ([KR2], Proposition 4.7.24)) With notation as fixed above, the algorithm presented below returns a subset $\{e_{i_1},\ldots,e_{i_{s'}}\}\subset\{e_1,\ldots,e_s\}$ and a subset $V=\{v_1,\ldots,v_t\}\subset N\cap L'_0$ such that $M\cong L'_0/N'$ as graded A-modules, where $L'_0=\oplus_{q=1}^{s'}Ae_{i_q}$ with $s'\leq s$ and $N'=\sum_{k=1}^t Av_k$, and such that $\{\overline{e}_{i_1},\ldots,\overline{e}_{i_{s'}}\}$ is a minimal homogeneous generating set of M.

Algorithm 4

INPUT : $E=\{e_1,\ldots,e_s\}$; $U=\{\xi_1,...,\xi_m\}$
where $\xi_\ell=\sum_{k=1}^s f_{k\ell}e_k$ with homogeneous $f_{k\ell}\in A$, $1\leq\ell\leq m$.
OUTPUT : $E'=\{e_{i_1},\ldots,e_{i_{s'}}\}$; $V=\{v_1,\ldots,v_t\}\subset N\cap L'_0$,
such that $v_j=\sum_{q=1}^{s'}h_{qj}e_{i_q}\in L'_0=\oplus_{q=1}^{s'}Ae_{i_q}$ with $h_{qj}\notin K^*$
whenever $h_{qj}\neq 0$, $1\leq j\leq t$
INITIALIZATION : $t:=m$; $V:=U$; $s':=s$; $E':=E$;

BEGIN
  WHILE there is a $v_j = \sum_{k=1}^{s'} f_{kj}e_k \in V$ satisfying
        $f_{kj} \notin K^*$ for $k < i$ and $f_{ij} \in K^*$ DO
        for $T = \{1, \ldots, j-1, j+1, \ldots, t\}$ compute
        $v'_\ell = v_\ell - \frac{1}{f_{ij}} f_{i\ell} v_j,\ \ell \in T,\ r = \#\{\ell \mid \ell \in T,\ v'_\ell = 0\}$
    $t := t - r - 1$
    $V := \{v_\ell = v'_\ell \mid \ell \in T,\ v'_\ell \neq 0\}$
      $= \{v_1, \ldots, v_t\}$ (after reordered)
    $s' := s' - 1$
    $E' := E' - \{e_i\} = \{e_1, \ldots, e_{s'}\}$ (after reordered)
  END
END

Proof It is clear that the algorithm is finite. The correctness of the algorithm follows immediately from Lemma 4.1 and the remark we made before the proposition.

5. Computation of Minimal Finite Graded Free Resolutions

Let $A = K[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ be a weighted $\mathbb{N}$-graded solvable polynomial algebra with respect to a given positive-degree function $d(\ )$ on A, and $(\mathscr{B}, \prec)$ a fixed admissible system of A. Then since A is Noetherian and $A_0 = K$, it is theoretically well known that up to a graded isomorphism of chain complexes in the category of graded A-modules, every finitely generated graded A-module M has a unique minimal graded free resolution (cf. ([Eis], Chapter 19), ([Kr1], Chapter 3), [Li3]). Combining the results of Section 3 and Section 4, in this section we present algorithmic procedures for constructing such a minimal graded free resolution over A.

All notions, notations and conventions introduced before are maintained.

In what follows, $L = \oplus_{i=1}^{s} Ae_i$ denotes a graded free left A-module such that $d_{\rm gr}(e_i) = b_i$, $1 \leq i \leq s$, i.e., $L = \oplus_{q \in \mathbb{N}} L_q$ with $L_q = K\text{-span}\{a^\alpha e_i \in \mathscr{B}(e) \mid d(a^\alpha) + b_i = q\}$, and $\prec_e$ denotes a left monomial ordering on the K-basis $\mathscr{B}(e)$ of L. Moreover, as in Section 2 we write $S_\ell(\xi_i, \xi_j)$ for the left S-polynomial of two elements ξ_i, $\xi_j \in L$.

Let $N = \sum_{i=1}^{m} A\xi_i$ be a graded submodule of L generated by the set of nonzero homogeneous elements $U = \{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_m\}$. We first demonstrate how to calculate a generating set of the syzygy module $\mathrm{Syz}(U)$ by means of a left Gröbner basis of N. To this end, let $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, \ldots, g_t\}$ be a left Gröbner basis of N with respect to $\prec_e$, then every nonzero left S-polynomial $S_\ell(g_i, g_j)$ has a left Gröbner representation $S_\ell(g_i, g_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{t} f_i g_i$ with $\mathbf{LM}(f_i g_i) \preceq_{\mathbb{e}} \mathbf{LM}(S_\ell(g_i, g_j))$ whenever $f_i \neq 0$ (note that such a representation is obtained by using the division by $\mathcal{G}$ during executing the WHILE loop in **Algorithm 1** or **Algorithm 3**). Considering the syzygy module $\mathrm{Szy}(\mathcal{G})$ of $\mathcal{G}$ in the free

A-module $L_1 = \oplus_{i=1}^t A\varepsilon_i$, if we put

$$s_{ij} = f_1\varepsilon_1 + \cdots + \left(f_i - \frac{a^{\gamma-\alpha(i)}}{\mathbf{LC}(a^{\gamma-\alpha(i)}\xi_i)}\right)\varepsilon_i + \cdots + \left(f_j + \frac{a^{\gamma-\alpha(j)}}{\mathbf{LC}(a^{\gamma-\alpha(j)}\xi_j)}\right)\varepsilon_j + \cdots + f_t\varepsilon_t,$$

$\mathcal{S} = \{s_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq t\}$, then it can be shown, actually as in the commutative case (cf. [AL], Theorem 3.7.3), that $\mathcal{S}$ generates $\mathrm{Szy}(\mathcal{G})$. However, by employing an analogue of the Schreyer ordering $\prec_{s\text{-}\varepsilon}$ on the K-basis $\mathscr{B}(\varepsilon) = \{a^\alpha\varepsilon_i \mid a^\alpha \in \mathscr{B},\ 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ of L_1 induced by $\mathcal{G}$ with respect to $\prec_e$, which is defined subject to the rule: for $a^\alpha\varepsilon_i, a^\beta\varepsilon_j \in \mathscr{B}(\varepsilon)$,

$$a^\alpha\varepsilon_i \prec_{s\text{-}\varepsilon} a^\beta\varepsilon_j \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \mathbf{LM}(a^\alpha g_i) \prec_e \mathbf{LM}(a^\beta g_j), \\ \text{or} \\ \mathbf{LM}(a^\alpha g_i) = \mathbf{LM}(a^\beta g_j) \text{ and } i < j, \end{cases}$$

there is indeed a much stronger result, namely the noncommutative analogue of Schreyer's Theorem [Sch] (cf. Theorem 3.7.13 in [AL] for free modules over commutative polynomial algebras; Theorem 4.8 in [Lev] for free modules over solvable polynomial algebras):

5.1. Theorem With respect to the left monomial ordering $\prec_{s\text{-}\varepsilon}$ on $\mathscr{B}(\varepsilon)$ as defined above, the following statements hold.
(i) Let s_{ij} be determined by $S_\ell(g_i, g_j)$, where $i < j$, $\mathbf{LM}(g_i) = a^{\alpha(i)}e_s$ with $\alpha(i) = (\alpha_{i_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i_n})$, and $\mathbf{LM}(g_j) = a^{\alpha(j)}e_s$ with $\alpha(j) = (\alpha_{j_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{j_n})$. Then $\mathbf{LM}(s_{ij}) = a^{\gamma-\alpha(j)}\varepsilon_j$, where $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n)$ with each $\gamma_k = \max\{\alpha_{i_k}, \alpha_{j_k}\}$.
(ii) $\mathcal{S}$ is a left Gröbner basis of $\mathrm{Syz}(\mathcal{G})$, thereby $\mathcal{S}$ generates $\mathrm{Syz}(\mathcal{G})$.

□

To go further, again let $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, \ldots, g_t\}$ be the left Gröbner basis of N produced by running **Algorithm 1** (or **Algorithm 3**) with the initial input data $U = \{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_m\}$. Using the usual matrix notation for convenience, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \vdots \\ \xi_m \end{pmatrix} = U_{m\times t} \begin{pmatrix} g_1 \\ \vdots \\ g_t \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} g_1 \\ \vdots \\ g_t \end{pmatrix} = V_{t\times m} \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \vdots \\ \xi_m \end{pmatrix},$$

where the $m \times t$ matrix $U_{m\times t}$ (with entries in A) is obtained by the division by $\mathcal{G}$, and the $t \times m$ matrix $V_{t\times m}$ (with entries in A) is obtained by keeping track of the reductions during executing the WHILE loop of **Algorithm 1**. By Theorem 5.1, we may write $\mathrm{Syz}(\mathcal{G}) = \sum_{i=1}^r A\mathcal{S}_i$ with $\mathcal{S}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{S}_r \in L_1 = \oplus_{i=1}^t A\varepsilon_i$; and if $\mathcal{S}_i = \sum_{j=1}^t f_{ij}\varepsilon_j$, then we write $\mathcal{S}_i$ as a $1 \times t$ row matrix, i.e., $\mathcal{S}_i = (f_{i_1}\ \ldots\ f_{it})$, whenever matrix notation is convenient in the according discussion. At this point, we note also that all the $\mathcal{S}_i$ may be written down one by one during executing the WHILE loop of **Algorithm 1** (or the first WHILE loop in **Algorithm 3**) successively. Furthermore, we write $D_{(1)}, \ldots, D_{(m)}$ for the rows of the matrix $D_{m\times m} = U_{m\times t}V_{t\times m} - E_{m\times m}$ where $E_{m\times m}$ is the $m \times m$ identity matrix. The following proposition is a noncommutative analogue of ([AL], Theorem 3.7.6).

Proposition 5.2. With notation fixed above, the syzygy module $\mathrm{Syz}(U)$ of $U = \{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_m\}$ is generated by

$$\{\mathcal{S}_1 V_{t\times m}, \ldots, \mathcal{S}_r V_{t\times m}, D_{(1)}, \ldots, D_{(m)}\},$$

where each $1 \times m$ row matrix represents an element of the free A-module $\oplus_{i=1}^m A\omega_i$.

Proof Since

$$0 = \mathcal{S}_i \begin{pmatrix} g_1 \\ \vdots \\ g_t \end{pmatrix} = (f_{i_1} \ \ldots \ f_{it}) \begin{pmatrix} g_1 \\ \vdots \\ g_t \end{pmatrix} = (f_{i_1} \ \ldots \ f_{it}) V_{t\times m} \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \vdots \\ \xi_m \end{pmatrix},$$

we have $\mathcal{S}_i V_{t\times m} \in \mathrm{Syz}(U)$, $1 \le i \le r$. Moreover, since

$$\begin{aligned} D_{m\times m} \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \vdots \\ \xi_m \end{pmatrix} &= (U_{m\times t} V_{t\times m} - E_{m\times m}) \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \vdots \\ \xi_m \end{pmatrix} \\ &= U_{m\times t} V_{t\times m} \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \vdots \\ \xi_m \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \vdots \\ \xi_m \end{pmatrix} \\ &= U_{m\times t} \begin{pmatrix} g_1 \\ \vdots \\ g_t \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \vdots \\ \xi_m \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \vdots \\ \xi_m \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \vdots \\ \xi_m \end{pmatrix} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

we have $D_{(1)}, \ldots, D_{(r)} \in \mathrm{Syz}(U)$.

On the other hand, if $H = (h_1 \ \ldots \ h_m)$ represents the element $\sum_{i=1}^m h_i\omega_i \in \oplus_{i=1}^m A\omega_i$ such that $H \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \vdots \\ \xi_m \end{pmatrix} = 0$, then $0 = HU_{m\times t} \begin{pmatrix} g_1 \\ \vdots \\ g_t \end{pmatrix}$. This means $HU_{m\times t} \in \mathrm{Syz}(\mathcal{G})$. Hence, $HU_{m\times t} = \sum_{i=1}^r f_i \mathcal{S}_i$ with $f_i \in A$, and it follows that $HU_{m\times t} V_{t\times m} = \sum_{i=1}^r f_i \mathcal{S}_i V_{t\times m}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} H &= H + HU_{m\times t} V_{t\times m} - HU_{m\times t} V_{t\times m} \\ &= H(E_m - U_{m\times t} V_{t\times m}) + \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^r f_i \mathcal{S}_i V_{t\times m} \\ &= -HD_{m\times m} + \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^r f_i (\mathcal{S}_i V_{t\times m}). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that every element of $\mathrm{Syz}(U)$ is generated by $\{\mathcal{S}_1 V_{t\times m}, \ldots, \mathcal{S}_r V_{t\times m}, D_{(1)}, \ldots, D_{(m)}\}$, as desired. □

Next, we recall the noncommutative version of Hilbert's syzygy theorem for solvable polynomial algebras. For a constructive proof of Hilbert's syzygy theorem by means of Gröbner bases respectively in the commutative case and the noncommutative case, we refer to (Corollary 15.11 in [Eis]) and (Section 4.4 in [Lev]).

5.3. Theorem Let $A = K[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ be a solvable polynomial algebra with admissible system $(\mathscr{B}, \prec)$. Then every finitely generated left A-module M has a free resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow L_s \longrightarrow L_{s-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow L_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

where each L_i is a free A-module of finite rank and $s \leq n$. It follows that M has projective dimension $\mathrm{p.dim}_A M \leq s$, and that A has global homological dimension $\mathrm{gl.dim} A \leq n$.

□

Now, we are able to give the main result of this section. Let $M = \sum_{i=1}^{s} Av_i$ be a finitely generated $\mathbb{N}$-graded left A-module with the set of homogeneous generators $\{v_1, \ldots, v_s\}$ such that $d_{\mathrm{gr}}(v_i) = b_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$, i.e., $M = \oplus_{b\in\mathbb{N}} M_b$ with each M_b the degree-b homogeneous part. Then M is isomorphic to a quotient module of the $\mathbb{N}$-graded free A-module $L_0 = \oplus_{i=1}^{s} Ae_i$ which is equipped with the $\mathbb{N}$-gradation $L_0 = \oplus_{q\in\mathbb{N}} L_{0q}$ such that $d_{\mathrm{gr}}(e_i) = b_i$, $1 \leq i \leq s$, and $L_{0q} = K\text{-span}\{a^\alpha e_i \in \mathscr{B}(e) \mid d(a^\alpha) + b_i = q\}$, where $d(\ )$ is the given positive-degree function on A. Thus we may write $M = L_0/N$, where N is a graded submodule of L_0.

Recall that a *minimal graded free resolution* of M is an exact sequence by free A-modules and A-module homomorphisms

$$\mathcal{L}_\bullet \qquad \cdots \xrightarrow{\varphi_{i+1}} L_i \xrightarrow{\varphi_i} \cdots \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} L_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} L_0 \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} M \longrightarrow 0$$

in which each L_i is an $\mathbb{N}$-graded free A-module with a finite homogeneous A-basis $E_i = \{e_{i_1}, \ldots, e_{i_{s_i}}\}$, and each φ_i is a graded A-module homomorphism of degree 0 (i.e., φ_i sends the degree-q homogeneous part of L_i into the degree-q homogeneous part of L_{i-1}), such that

(1) $\varphi_0(E_0)$ is a minimal homogeneous generating set of M, $\mathrm{Ker}\varphi_0 = N$, and

(2) for $i \geq 1$, $\varphi_i(E_i)$ is a minimal homogeneous generating set of $\mathrm{Ker}\varphi_{i-1}$.

5.4. Theorem With notation as fixed above, suppose that $N = \sum_{i=1}^{m} A\xi_i$ with the set of nonzero homogeneous generators $U = \{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_m\}$. Then the graded A-module $M = L_0/N$ has a minimal graded free resolution of length $d \leq n$:

$$\mathcal{L}_\bullet \qquad 0 \longrightarrow L_d \xrightarrow{\varphi_q} \cdots \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} L_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} L_0 \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} M \longrightarrow 0$$

which can be constructed by implementing the following procedures:

Procedure 1. Run **Algorithm 4** with the initial input data $E = \{e_1, \ldots, e_s\}$ and $U = \{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_m\}$ to compute a subset $E' = \{e_{i_1}, \ldots, e_{i_{s'}}\} \subset \{e_1, \ldots, e_s\}$ and a subset $V = \{v_1, \ldots, v_t\} \subset N \cap L_0'$ such that $M \cong L_0'/N'$ as graded A-modules, where $L_0' = \oplus_{q=1}^{s'} Ae_{i_q}$ with $s' \leq s$ and $N' = \sum_{k=1}^{t} Av_k$, and such that $\{\overline{e}_{i_1}, \ldots, \overline{e}_{i_{s'}}\}$ is a minimal homogeneous generating set of M.

For convenience, after accomplishing Procedure 1 we may assume that $E = E'$, $U = V$ and $N = N'$. Accordingly we have the short exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow L_0 \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} M \longrightarrow 0$ such that $\varphi_0(E) = \{\overline{e}_1, \ldots, \overline{e}_s\}$ is a minimal homogeneous generating set of M.

Procedure 2. Choose a left monomial ordering $\prec_e$ on the K-basis $\mathscr{B}(e)$ of L_0 and run **Algorithm 3** with the initial input data $U = \{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_m\}$ to compute a minimal homogeneous generating

set $U_{\min} = \{\xi_{j_1}, \ldots, \xi_{j_{s_1}}\}$ and a left Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G}$ for N; at the same time, by keeping track of the reductions during executing the first WHILE loop and the second WHILE loop respectively, return the matrices $\mathcal{S}$ and V required by Proposition 5.2.

Procedure 3. By using the division by the left Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G}$ obtained in Procedure 2, compute the matrix U required by Proposition 5.2. Use the matrices $\mathcal{S}$, V obtained in Procedure 2, the matrix U and Proposition 5.2 to compute a homogeneous generating set of $N_1 = \mathrm{Syz}(U_{\min})$ in the $\mathbb{N}$-graded free A-module $L_1 = \oplus_{i=1}^{s_1} A\varepsilon_i$, where the gradation of L_1 is defined by setting $d_{\mathrm{gr}}(\varepsilon_k) = d_{\mathrm{gr}}(\xi_{j_k})$, $1 \leq k \leq s_1$.

Procedure 4. Construct the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow N_1 \longrightarrow L_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} L_0 \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} M \longrightarrow 0$$

where $\varphi_1(\varepsilon_k) = \xi_{j_k}$, $1 \leq k \leq s_1$. If $N_1 \neq 0$, then repeat Procedure 2 – Procedure 4 for N_1 and so on.

By Hilbert's syzygy theorem for solvable polynomial algebras (Theorem 5.3), M has finite projective dimension $\mathrm{p.dim}_A M \leq n$. Also we know from the literature (e.g. ([Eis], Chapter 19), ([Kr1], Chapter 3), [Li3]) that $\mathrm{p.dim}_A M =$ the length of the minimal resolution of M. It follows that the desired minimal graded free resolution $\mathcal{L}_\bullet$ for M is then obtained after finite times of processing the above procedures.

References

[AL1] J. Apel and W. Lassner, An extension of Buchberger's algorithm and calculations in enveloping fields of Lie algebras. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 6(1988), 361–370.

[AL2] W. W. Adams and P. Loustaunau, *An Introduction to Gröbner Bases*. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Vol. 3. American Mathematical Society, 1994.

[Bu1] B. Buchberger, *Ein Algorithmus zum Auffinden der Basiselemente des Restklassenringes nach einem nulldimensionalen polynomideal*. PhD thesis, University of Innsbruck, 1965.

[Bu2] B. Buchberger, Gröbner bases: An algorithmic method in polynomial ideal theory. In: *Multidimensional Systems Theory* (Bose, N.K., ed.), Reidel Dordrecht, 1985, 184–232.

[BW] T. Becker and V. Weispfenning, *Gröbner Bases*. Springer-Verlag, 1993.

[CDNR] A. Capani, G. De Dominicis, G. Niesi, and L. Robbiano, Computing minimal finite free resolutions. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, (117& 118)(1997), 105 – 117.

[Gal] A. Galligo, Some algorithmic questions on ideals of differential operators. *Proc. EUROCAL'85*, LNCS 204, 1985, 413–421.

[DGPS] W. Decker, G.-M. Greuel, G. Pfister, and H. Schönemann, SINGULAR 3-1-3 — A computer algebra system for polynomial computations. http://www.singular.uni-kl.de(2011).

[Eis] D. Eisenbud, *Commutative Algebra with a View Toward to Algebraic Geometry*. GTM 150, Springer, New York, 1995.

[Fau] J.-C. Faugére. A new efficient algorithm for computing Gröobner bases without reduction to zero (F5). In: *proc. ISSAC'02*, ACM Press, New York, USA, 75-82, 2002.

[Fröb] R. Fröberg, *An Introduction to Gröbner Bases*. Wiley, 1997.

[Kr1] U. Krähmer, Notes on Koszul algebras. 2010. http://www.maths.gla.ac.uk/∼ukraehmer/connected.pdf

[Kr2] H. Kredel, *Solvable Polynomial Rings*. Shaker-Verlag, 1993.

[KP] H. Kredel and M. Pesch, MAS, modula-2 algebra system. 1998. http://krum.rz.uni-mannheim.de/mas

[KR1] M. Kreuzer, L. Robbiano, *Computational Commutative Algebra 1*. Springer, 2000.

[KR2] M. Kreuzer, L. Robbiano, *Computational Commutative Algebra 2*. Springer, 2005.

[K-RW] A. Kandri-Rody and V. Weispfenning, Non-commutative Gröbner bases in algebras of solvable type. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 9(1990), 1–26.

[Lev] V. Levandovskyy, *Non-commutative Computer Algebra for Polynomial Algebra*: *Gröbner Bases, Applications and Implementation*. Ph.D. Thesis, TU Kaiserslautern, 2005.

[Li1] H. Li, *Noncommutative Gröbner Bases and Filtered-Graded Transfer*. Lecture Note in Mathematics, Vol. 1795, Springer-Verlag, 2002.

[Li2] H. Li, *Grobner Bases in Ring Theory*. World Scientific Publishing Co., 2011.

[Li3] H. Li, On monoid graded local rings. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 216(2012), 2697 – 2708.

[Li4] H. Li, A Note on Solvable Polynomial Algebras. *Computer Science Journal of Moldova*, 1(64)(2014), 99–109. arXiv:1212.5988 [math.RA].

[Li5] H. Li, Computation of minimal filtered free resolutions over ℕ-filterd solvable polynomial algebras. arXiv:1401.5464 [math.RA].

[LW] H. Li and Y. Wu, Filtered-graded transfer of Gröbner basis computation in solvable polynomial algebras. *Communications in Algebra*, 1(28)(2000), 15–32.

[Sch] F.O. Schreyer, *Die Berechnung von Syzygien mit dem verallgemeinerten Weierstrasschen Divisionsatz*. Diplomarbeit, Hamburg, 1980.

[SWMZ] Y. Sun, et al, A signature-based algorithm for computing Gröbner bases in solvable polynomial algebras. In: *Proc. ISSAC'12*, ACM Press, 351-358, 2012.